

Real-world Psychosocial and Economic Burden of Atopic Dermatitis Related to Disease Severity and Use of Systemic Therapy: Results from a Multicountry Study

Valeria Aoki¹, Kilian Eyerich², Peter Schmid-Grendelmeier³, Spyridon Gkalpakiotis⁴, Henrique D. Teixeira⁵, Shunya Takemoto⁶, Brian M. Calimlim⁵, Shirley H. Chen⁷, Cristina Sancho⁸, Juan Francisco Silvestre⁹

¹Department of Dermatology, University of São Paulo Medical School, São Paulo, Brazil;

²Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Medical Center, University of Freiburg, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

³Allergy Unit, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

⁴Department of Dermatovenereology, Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, and University Hospital Kralovske Vinohrady, Prague, Czech Republic

⁵AbbVie Inc., North Chicago IL, USA⁶AbbVie GK, Tokyo, Japan

⁷Tigermed-BDM Inc., Somerset, NJ, USA

⁸AbbVie Madrid, Spain

⁹Department of Dermatology, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Introduction: Patients with atopic dermatitis (AD) experience a substantial burden of disease, including impacts on mental health and financial costs. However, there is still a gap in the understanding of the burden of AD across all levels of disease severity, and treatment patterns are not well understood. MEASURE-AD was a cross-sectional 28-country study of patients with physician-confirmed AD who were either receiving systemic treatment or eligible for systemic therapy. Primary study findings have been reported. In this analysis, we describe the psychosocial and economic burden of AD relative to patients' disease severity and current systemic therapy use.

Methods: MEASURE-AD recruited patients with AD aged ≥ 12 years between December 2019 and December 2020 from Western Europe, Eastern Europe, Middle East, Asia, Canada, and Latin America. Mental health status was characterized by the 12-Item Short Form Health Survey Mental Component Summary (SF-12 MCS) score and anxiety and depression were characterized by the proportions of patients with Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) anxiety (HADS-A) and depression (HADS-D) subscale scores ≥ 8 . Financial cost due to AD was characterized by the median patient-reported cost of healthcare-related expenses and everyday necessities related to AD. Results were presented by AD severity level (Eczema Area and Severity Index [EASI] of clear [0], mild [0.1-5.9], moderate [6.0-22.9], and severe [23.0-72.0]) and current systemic therapy use (yes, no). Differences were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis tests and chi-square tests, as appropriate. Here we report analyses for adults (age ≥ 18 years).

Results: Among 1434 adult patients with AD, mean age was 39.1 years, 52.2% (n=748) were men, and 60.5% (n=868) were employed. Of 1428 patients with EASI data, AD severity was rated as clear, mild, moderate, and severe for 5.7%, 25.5%, 43.5%, and 25.4%, respectively. Patients with worse disease severity had significantly worse mental health status, were much more likely to meet cut-off scores for depression and anxiety, and had higher financial costs (**Table 1**). Among the 1434 patients, 813 (56.7%) were currently receiving systemic therapy,

most commonly dupilumab (n=468, 57.6%), systemic corticosteroids (n=146, 18.0%), methotrexate (n=124, 15.3%), or cyclosporine (n=122, 15.0%). Patients not currently receiving systemic therapy had significantly worse mental health status, were more likely to meet cut-off scores for anxiety or depression, and had higher financial costs compared with patients receiving systemic therapy (**Table 1**).

Conclusions: This analysis of more than 1400 adults with AD, demonstrated that a psychosocial and economic burden exists among patients with AD. The burden is higher for patients with more severe disease and for those not treated with systemic therapies. Overall, a significant unmet need remains for effective treatments to improve patients' psychosocial outcomes and reduce the economic burden of AD.

Table 1. Psychosocial and Economic Burden of Atopic Dermatitis by Disease Severity Level and Current Use of Systemic Therapy				
Patient Group	Mental Status (SF-12 MCS^a) Median (IQR)	Anxiety (HADS-A^b ≥8) n (%)	Depression (HADS-D^b ≥8) n (%)	Financial Costs Due to AD (USD/mo) Median (IQR)
Disease Severity (EASI)^c				
Clear (n=81)	55.2 (49.6–57.7)	19 (23.5)	8 (9.9)	52.63 (6.05–96.80)
Mild (n=364)	49.3 (40.0–55.1)	107 (29.4)	68 (18.7)	77.82 (33.70–145.20)
Moderate (n=621)	44.0 (35.1–52.3)	291 (46.9)	186 (30.0)	89.00 (47.40–169.40)
Severe (n=362)	38.7 (30.8–47.7)	196 (54.1)	153 (42.3)	121.50 (66.55–231.00)
P value^d	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
Current Systemic Therapy				
Yes (n=813)	45.8 (35.6–54.5)	308 (37.9)	210 (25.8)	84.70 (42.00–164.25)
No (n=620)	43.0 (34.1–51.5)	307 (49.5)	205 (33.1)	102.70 (48.40–181.50)
P value^d	<.0001	<.0001	.0025	.0073
^a Range 0–100; higher scores indicate better mental health. ^b Scores of 0–7 are considered normal, and scores of ≥8–21 are borderline to abnormal. ^c Severity levels are based on EASI score ranges per Chopra, 2017: clear, 0; mild, 0.1–5.9; moderate, 6.0–22.9; severe, 23.0–72.0. ^d P values are based on Kruskal-Wallis tests for SF-12 MCS and financial costs, and on chi-square tests for HADS-A and HADS-D. EASI, Eczema Area and Severity Index; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; HADS-A, HADS-Anxiety; HADS-D, HADS-Depression; IQR, interquartile range (25th to 75th percentiles); SF-12 MCS, 12-Item Short Form Health Survey Mental Component Summary; USD, US dollars.				

DISCLOSURES

V Aoki has been an investigator, speaker, and/or advisor for AbbVie, Eli Lilly, LEO Pharma, Pfizer, and Sanofi Genzyme.

K Eyerich has served as a speaker, investigator, and/or advisor for AbbVie, Almirall, Berlin Chemie, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Celgene, Hexal, Galapagos, Janssen, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Sanofi, and UCB Pharma.

P Schmid-Grendelmeier has received speaker and consultancy fees from AbbVie, Aimunne, ALK Abello, AstraZeneca, Biomed, Galderma, Glaxo Smith Kline, Jansen, LEO, Lilly, L'Oréal, Novartis, Pfizer, Pierre Fabre, Roche Pharma, Sanofi Genzyme, Stallergenes, and Thermo Fisher, and participated in research collaborations with AbbVie, Bühlmann Diagnostics, LEO, Novartis, Pfizer, Stallergenes, and Thermo Fisher.

S Gkalpakiotis has served as a speaker, investigator, and/or advisor for AbbVie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Janssen, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Sanofi, and UCB Pharma.

C Sancho, S Takemoto, BM Calimlim, and HD Teixeira are full-time, salaried employees of AbbVie Inc. and may own AbbVie stock or stock options.

SH Chen is a full-time, salaried employee of Tigermed-BDM Inc., which provided statistical analysis support that was funded by AbbVie.

JF Silvestre has served as a consultant and received speaking fees at educational events for Sanofi Genzyme, Regeneron, AbbVie and Novartis; and has served as the principal investigator in clinical trials sponsored by AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Galderma, Incyte, Leo Pharma, Novartis, and Pfizer, outside of submitted work

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

AbbVie funded this study and participated in the study design, research, analysis, data collection, interpretation of data, reviewing, and approval of the publication. All authors had access to relevant data and participated in the drafting, review, and approval of this publication. No honoraria or payments were made for authorship. The authors thank Meijing Wu, PhD, a former AbbVie employee, for her statistical support. Medical writing support was provided by Lisa Baker, PhD, and Janet E. Matsuura, PhD, of ICON (Blue Bell, PA, USA) and was funded by AbbVie.