Efficacy and safety of tralokinumab in adolescents with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis: results of the phase 3 ECZTRA 6 trial

Amy Paller,¹ Andrew Blauvelt,² Weily Soong,³ Shinichi Imafuku,⁴ Chih-ho Hong,⁵ Marie L.A. Schuttelaar,⁶ Petra Amoudruz,⁷ Azra Kurbasic,⁷ Lise Soldbro,⁷ Katja Lophaven,⁷ Michael Cork,⁸ Anthony Bewley,⁹ Eric L. Simpson¹⁰

¹Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA; ²Oregon Medical Research Center, Portland, OR, USA; ³Allervie Health-Alabama Allergy & Asthma Center, Birmingham, AL, USA; ⁴Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan; ⁵University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; ⁶University Medical Centre Groningen, University of Groningen, The Netherlands; ⁷LEO Pharma A/S, Ballerup, Denmark; ⁸University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; ⁹Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK; ¹⁰Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA

Introduction. In adult phase 3 trials, tralokinumab demonstrated efficacy and safety for AD treatment [Wollenberg A, et al. *Br J Dermatol.* 2021;184:437-449; Silverberg JI, et al. *Br J Dermatol.* 2021;184:450-463]. We evaluated tralokinumab efficacy and safety in adolescents with moderate-to-severe AD in the phase 3 ECZTRA 6 trial (NCT03526861).

Methods. Adolescents (aged 12-17 years) were randomized to receive subcutaneous tralokinumab 150 mg (n=100) or 300 mg (n=101), or placebo (n=100) every 2 weeks. Primary endpoints were Investigator's Global Assessment (IGA) score 0/1 and ≥75% improvement in Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI-75) at Week 16. Patients achieving primary endpoints without rescue treatment were re-randomized for 36 weeks of maintenance treatment. EASI-75, IGA 0/1, and ≥4-point improvement in adolescent pruritus Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) were analyzed using Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by geographic region and baseline disease severity. Patients receiving rescue therapy or with missing data were considered non-responders. SCORing AD (SCORAD) and Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI) were analyzed using a linear mixed model for repeated measurements.

Results. At Week 16, significantly greater proportions of patients receiving tralokinumab (150 mg/300 mg vs placebo) achieved IGA 0/1 (21.4%/17.5% vs 4.3%; P<0.001/P=0.002), EASI-75 (28.6%/27.8% vs 6.4%; P<0.001/P<0.001), and ≥4-point improvement in adolescent pruritus NRS (23.2%/25.0% vs 3.3%; P<0.001/P<0.001). Tralokinumab treatment was associated with greater improvement than placebo in SCORAD (adjusted mean change ± SE: $-27.5 \pm 2.4/-29.1 \pm 2.4$ vs -9.5 ± 3.0 ; P<0.001/P<0.001) and CDLQI ($-6.1 \pm 0.6/-6.7 \pm 0.6$ vs -4.1 ± 0.7 ; P=0.040/P=0.007) from baseline to Week 16. Through Week 16, percentages of adverse events (AEs; 67.3/64.9 vs 61.7), serious AEs (3.1/1.0 vs 5.3), AEs leading to discontinuation (1.0/0 vs 0), and conjunctivitis events (4.1/3.1 vs 2.1) were similar between the tralokinumab and placebo groups.

Conclusions. At Week 16, tralokinumab 150 mg and 300 mg every 2 weeks demonstrated efficacy compared with placebo across primary and secondary endpoints in adolescents with AD. Tralokinumab was well tolerated; efficacy and safety profiles were comparable to those in phase 3 adult tralokinumab trials.

Funding Source. The ECZTRA 6 trial was sponsored by LEO Pharma A/S, Ballerup, Denmark.